**REMARKS** 

Status of the Claims

Claims 14 is currently pending in the application. Claims 13 and 15-19 stand rejected.

The Examiner objects to claim 14. Claims 1-12 and 20-52 are withdrawn as being drawn to a

non-elected invention. Claim 14 has been amended as set forth herein. Claims 1-13 and 15-52

have been cancelled herein. All amendments and cancellations are made without prejudice or

disclaimer. No new matter has been added by way of the present amendments. Specifically, the

amendment of claim 14 is supported by original claims 1 and 13. Reconsideration is respectfully

requested.

Objections to the Specification

The Examiner objects to the specification for the following reasons. (See, Office Action

of September 28, 2006, at page 5, hereinafter, "Office Action"). The Examiner requests that the

status of the parent divisional U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/590,304 in the first

paragraph of the specification be updated to reflect that it has issued as U.S. Patent No.

6,649,371. Applicant has amended the first paragraph of the specification herein to address the

Examiner's objection thereto.

The Examiner also objects to the title of the present application because it is not

descriptive. (Id.). The Examiner states that the word "novel" is a legal conclusion and should

not be recited in the title of a patent application. Applicant has taken measures to amend the title

herein as requested by the Examiner. Applicant requests the title be amended to be the same as

that of the parent.

6

GMM/TJS/bsh

The Examiner also objects to the specification for failing to comply with the sequence

requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 1.821(a)(1) and (a)(2). (Id.). That is, the Examiner wishes

Applicants to amend the specification to include sequence identifiers in the specification where

necessary. Applicant has herein provided further amendment to the specification to fully comply

with the sequence requirements of Rule 821. Sequences were found at pages 9-12 as the

Examiner indicated. No other sequences were identified. Thus, page 12 of the specification is

amended to indicate the SEQ ID NOS of those sequences listed at pages 9-12.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the objections to the specification and title are

respectfully requested.

**Objections to the Claims** 

The Examiner objects to claims 13 and 14. (Id. at page 14). Claim 13 has been cancelled

herein without prejudice or disclaimer, thus obviating the objection to claim 13. As to claim 14,

the Examiner objects to claim 14 for depending from a rejected base claim, however the

Examiner states that claim 14 is would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including

all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. (Id.).

Although Applicant believes claim 13 is allowable, claim 14 has been amended to recite

the limitations of both of claims 1 and 13, from which claim 14 depends, either directly or

indirectly, as suggested by the Examiner. Thus, claim 14 is allowable as amended.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the objection to claim 14 are respectfully requested.

7

GMM/TJS/bsh

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, First Paragraph

Enablement

Claims 13, 15, 17 and 18 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for failing

to comply with the enablement requirement. (See, Office Action, at page 6). Claims 13, 15, 17

and 18 have been cancelled herein without prejudice or disclaimer, thus obviating the rejection

of these claims based on enablement.

Written Description

Claims 13, 15, 17 and 18 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for failing

to comply with the written description requirement. (See, Office Action, at page 9). Claims 13,

15, 17 and 18 have been cancelled herein without prejudice or disclaimer, thus obviating the

rejection of these claims based on lack of written description.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, Second Paragraph

Claims 13 and 15-19 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, for failing

to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the

invention. (See, Office Action, at pages 11-12). Claims 13 and 15-19 have been cancelled

herein without prejudice or disclaimer, thus obviating the rejection of these claims based on

indefiniteness.

8

GMM/TJS/bsh

Application No. 10/661,629

Amendment dated December 28, 2006

Reply to Office Action of September 28, 2006

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)

Claim 13 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Blanar et al.,

U.S. Patent No. 6,403,360. (See, Office Action, at page 13). Claim 13 has been cancelled herein

without prejudice or disclaimer, thus obviating the anticipation rejection of claim 13.

CONCLUSION

In view of the above amendment, Applicant believes the pending application is in

condition for allowance. If the Examiner has any questions or comments, please contact Thomas

J. Siepmann, Ph.D., Registration No 57,374, at the offices of Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch,

LLP.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies

to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional

fees required under 37.C.F.R. §§1.16 or 1.14; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: December 28, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Gecald M. Murphy, Jr.

Registration No.: 28,977

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant

GMM/TJS/bsh

Docket No.: 2815-0236P

9